## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America	)
v. VIRGIL ANTONIO LANGFORD	) Case No: <u>DNCW109CR000023-008</u> USM No: <u>23555-058</u>
Date of Original Judgment: May 21, 2010	) USIVI NO. <u>25555-056</u>
Date of Last Amended Judgment: N/A	Eric Bach
	Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence	Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment is subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States.	nited States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  ☐ DENIED. ■ GRANTED and the defendant the last judgment issued) of 75 mon	's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in ths is reduced to 64 months
<u>,                                     </u>	
Criminal History Category: Original Guideline Range:  1. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE    23	NGE (Prior to Any Departures)  Amended Offense Level: 21  Criminal History Category: IV  Amended Guideline Range: 60 to 71 months
of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably l  The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline r  Other (explain): The defendant did not receive a down therefore, his sentence is limited to th  *This sentence is to run concurrent to	range.  than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time  ess than the amended guideline range.
release from incarceration, it is ordered that as a cond	ential plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer prior to dition of supervised release the defendant shall submit to to exceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment of	lated May 21, 2010, shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Order Date: August 10, 2012	Street 1.
Effective Date:(if different from order date)	Martin Reidinger